arXiv:1212.4694v1 [math.AP] 19 Dec 2012

A NEW METHOD FOR LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF CONVEX HAMILTON–JACOBI EQUATIONS I: DEGENERATE EQUATIONS AND WEAKLY COUPLED SYSTEMS

FILIPPO CAGNETTI, DIOGO GOMES, HIROYOSHI MITAKE AND HUNG V. TRAN

Abstract. We introduce a new machinery to study the large time behavior for general classes of Hamilton–Jacobi type equations, which include degenerate parabolic equations and weakly coupled systems. We establish the convergence results by using the nonlinear adjoint method and identifying new long time averaging effects. These methods are robust and can easily be adapted to study the large time behavior of related problems.

1. Introduction

In this paper we introduce a new method to study the large time behavior for general classes of Hamilton–Jacobi type equations, which include degenerate quasilinear parabolic equations and weakly coupled systems. We consider nonlinear evolution Hamilton–Jacobi equations of the following abstract form

$$(\mathcal{C}) \qquad \begin{cases} u_t + \mathcal{H}(z,[u]) = 0, & \text{in } U \times (0,\infty), \\ u(z,0) = u_0(z), & \text{on } U. \end{cases}$$

In order to present a general framework, including also the case of systems, we use a compact notation as follows. The set U is defined as $U = \mathbb{T}^n \times \{1,2,\dots,k\}$ with $n,k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. The initial condition $u_0 : U \to \mathbb{R}$ and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} : U \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{M}^{n\times n}_{\mathrm{sym}} \to \mathbb{R}$ are given, while $u : U \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the unknown. Here $\mathbb{M}^{n\times n}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ denotes the set of symmetric $n\times n$ real-valued matrices, and we set $[f](z,t) = (f(z,t), D_x f(z,t), D^2_{xx} f(z,t))$ for every smooth function $f : U \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. When needed, for every $z \in U$ we will also use the decomposition $z = (x,i)$, with $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $i \in \{1,2,\dots,k\}$. We are only dealing with viscosity solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations and thus the term "viscosity" will be omitted henceforth.

Our goal is to establish a unified method to prove that, under appropriate conditions, the following convergence result holds true

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,k} \|u(\cdot,i,t) - (v(\cdot,i) - ct)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

Date: December 20, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35F55, 49L25 .

Key words and phrases. Large-time Behavior; Hamilton–Jacobi Equations; Degenerate Parabolic Equations; Nonlinear Adjoint Methods; Viscosity Solutions.

where the pair $(v, c) \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)^k \times \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of the *ergodic problem*

$$(\mathcal{E}) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{H}(z, [v]) = c, \quad \text{in } \ U.$$

Under fairly general conditions, which will be specified later, there exists a unique constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\mathcal{E})$ holds true for some $v \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)^k$. It is however typically the case that such v is not unique, even up to additive constants, which makes the convergence (1.1) delicate to be achieved. We give two important applications in this paper, one of which includes an open problem in the study of the large-time asymptotics for Hamilton–Jacobi type equations. More precisely, we study degenerate viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equations in Section 2 and the weakly coupled systems of Hamilton–Jacobi equations in Section 3.

Before giving more details about the main ideas of the paper, let us briefly recall the state of the art of the subject. In the last decade, the large time behavior of solutions of single Hamilton–Jacobi equations,

$$u_t + H(x, Du) = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.2}$$

where H is coercive, has received much attention and general convergence results for solutions have been established. The first general result in this direction was proven by Namah and Roquejoffre in [15], under the additional assumptions: $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is convex, and $H(x, p) \geq H(x, 0)$ for all $(x, p) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\max_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} H(x, 0) = 0$. The same type of convergence results was obtained by Fathi [9] using a dynamical system approach from weak KAM theory. In this case, smoothness and uniform convexity on $H(x, \cdot)$ are assumed, i.e., $D^2_{pp}H(x, p) \geq \alpha I$ for all $(x, p) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha > 0$, but no specific structure like the one above of Hamiltonians is needed. Afterwards, Davini and Siconolfi in [6] and Ishii in [10] refined and generalized the approach of Fathi, and studied the asymptotic problem for Hamilton–Jacobi equations on $\mathbb{T}^n$ and the whole n-dimensional Euclidean space, respectively. Besides, Barles and Souganidis [2] obtained this type of results, for possibly non-convex Hamiltonians, by using a PDE method in the context of viscosity solutions. Barles, Ishii and Mitake [1] simplified the ideas in [2] and presented the most general assumptions (up to now) to achieve (1.1).

Very recently, Mitake and Tran [13] have been able to establish large time behavior results for weakly coupled systems of Hamilton–Jacobi equations in the general setting, by using a new representation formula for the solutions and the dynamical approach, which was inspired by the papers [6, 10]. Also we refer to [12] for related studies. After the work [13], Nguyen [14] generalized PDE techniques in [2] to obtain similar results.

For the viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equation,

$$u_t + H(x, Du) = \Delta u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.3}$$

Barles and Souganidis [3] got the same type of asymptotics (1.1). In this case, the associated ergodic problem has a structure which is much simpler than that one of (1.2). Indeed, the strong comparison principle holds, and therefore the solution v of $(\mathcal{E})$ is unique up to constants. The proof of (1.1) in [3] actually strongly depends on this fact. We emphasize that though the large time behaviors are of the same type, the methods of obtaining convergence results for (1.2) and (1.3) are completely different.

This paper presents a new method of obtaining large time behavior (1.1) of $(\mathcal{C})$ based on the unified principle, which is to prove in the viscosity sense that

$$\|u_t(\cdot,i,t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t\to\infty, \quad \text{for all } i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Indeed, the stability of viscosity solutions, together with (1.4), suffices to achieve the convergence (1.1). We notice that this principle already appeared in the papers of Fathi [9], Barles and Souganidis [2], in an implicit way.

Let us briefly describe the key ideas of this paper. Without loss of generality, we assume the ergodic constant $c=0$ henceforth. In order to understand the limit as $t\to\infty$, we introduce a rescaled problem. For $\varepsilon>0$, set $u^\varepsilon(z,t)=u(z,t/\varepsilon)$. Then $(u^\varepsilon)_t(z,t)=\varepsilon^{-1}(u)_t(z,t/\varepsilon)$, $Du^\varepsilon(z,t)=Du(z,t/\varepsilon)$, and u^ε solves

$$(\mathcal{C})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \varepsilon u^\varepsilon_t + \mathcal{H}(z,[u^\varepsilon]) = 0, & \text{in } U\times(0,\infty),\\ u^\varepsilon(z,0)=u_0(z), & \text{on } U. \end{cases}$$

By this rescaling, $u^\varepsilon(z,1)=u(z,1/\varepsilon)$ and we can easily see that to prove (1.1) is equivalent to prove that

$$\|u^\varepsilon(\cdot,i,1)\to v(\cdot,i)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon\to 0, \quad \text{for all } i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}. \tag{1.5}$$

To show (1.5), we first introduce the following approximation of $(\mathcal{C})_\varepsilon$

$$(\mathcal{A})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \varepsilon w^\varepsilon_t + \mathcal{H}(z,[w^\varepsilon]) = \varepsilon^4\Delta w^\varepsilon, & \text{in } U\times(0,\infty),\\ w^\varepsilon(z,0)=u_0(z), & \text{on } U. \end{cases}$$

Then, we observe that w^ε is smooth, and

$$\|w^\varepsilon(\cdot,i,1)-u^\varepsilon(\cdot,i,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon\to 0, \quad \text{for all } i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}.$$

It is thus enough to derive the convergence, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, of $w^\varepsilon(\cdot,i,1)$ by proving that

$$\varepsilon\|w^\varepsilon_t(\cdot,i,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon\to 0, \quad \text{for all } i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}, \tag{1.6}$$

which is actually a rigorous version of (1.4).

In order to prove (1.6), we combine the nonlinear adjoint method introduced by Evans [7] and a new observation on the averaging action as $t\to\infty$ (or equivalently as $\varepsilon\to 0$ by rescaling), as clarified below. Let $\mathcal{L}_{w^\varepsilon}$ be the *formal linearized operator* of $(\mathcal{A})_\varepsilon$ around w^ε, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_{w^\varepsilon} f := \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\Big(\varepsilon(w^\varepsilon+\eta f)_t + \mathcal{H}(z,[w^\varepsilon+\eta f]) - \varepsilon^4\Delta(w^\varepsilon+\eta f)\Big)\Big|_{\eta=0},$$

for any $f\in C^2(U\times(0,\infty))$. We consider the following adjoint equation

$$(\mathcal{AJ})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^*_{w^\varepsilon}\sigma^\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } U\times(0,1),\\ \sigma^\varepsilon(z,1)=\delta_{z_0}, & \text{on } U, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^*_{w^\varepsilon}$ is the formal adjoint operator of $\mathcal{L}_{w^\varepsilon}$, and δ_{z_0} is the Dirac delta measure at some point $z_0 \in U$. We then see that $\sigma^\varepsilon(\cdot,t)$ is a probability measure for all $t \in (0,1)$ and conservation of energy holds, namely

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\big(\mathcal{H}(x,i,[w^\varepsilon]) - \varepsilon^4\Delta w^\varepsilon\big)\sigma^\varepsilon(x,i,t)\,dx = 0.$$

The conservation of energy in particular gives us a different way to interpret $\varepsilon w^\varepsilon_t(\cdot,1)$ as

$$\varepsilon w^\varepsilon_t(z_0,1) = \int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\big(\mathcal{H}(x,i,[w^\varepsilon]) - \varepsilon^4\Delta w^\varepsilon\big)\sigma^\varepsilon(x,i,t)\,dx\,dt. \tag{1.7}$$

We then use techniques of the nonlinear adjoint method to establish that the right hand side of (1.7) vanishes as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which is basically equivalent to obtain the main principle (1.6). Notice that the averaging action appears implicitly in (1.7) and plays the key role here. More precisely, if we rescale the above integral back to its actual scale, it turns out to be

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\big(\mathcal{H}(x,i,[w^\varepsilon](x,\varepsilon t)) - \varepsilon^4\Delta w^\varepsilon(x,i,\varepsilon t)\big)\sigma^\varepsilon(x,i,\varepsilon t)\,dx\,dt, \tag{1.8}$$

where $T = 1/\varepsilon \to \infty$.

The nonlinear adjoint method for Hamilton-Jacobi equations was introduced by Evans [7] to study the vanishing viscosity process, and gradient shock structures of viscosity solutions of non convex Hamilton–Jacobi equations. Afterwards, Tran [17] used the nonlinear adjoint method to establish a rate of convergence for static Hamilton–Jacobi equations and was able to relax the convexity assumption of the Hamiltonians in some cases. Cagnetti, Gomes and Tran [4] then used it to study the Aubry–Mather theory in the non convex settings and established the existence of Mather measures. See also [5, 8] for further developments of this new method in the context of Hamilton–Jacobi equations.

What is new in this paper is an observation based on the combination of the nonlinear adjoint method with the averaging action as $t \to \infty$ presented roughly above, which gives a new method to achieve the convergence (1.1). This gives not only a unified approach on the study of large-time asymptotics for (1.2), (1.3) and weakly coupled systems but also an answer for that of degenerate viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations with convex Hamiltonians. Since our purpose is largely expository, we have chosen only two important applications which we have already mentioned, but the method is robust and can easily be adapted to study the large time behavior of related problems.

2. Degenerate Viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations

In this section we study degenerate viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equations. To keep the formulation as simple as possible, we consider an equation of the form

$$\text{(C)} \qquad \begin{cases} u_t + H(x, Du) = a(x)\Delta u, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $u_0 \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Throughout this section we *always* assume the following.

(H1) $H \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

$$D^2_{pp} H \geq \theta I_n;$$

(H2) There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|D_x H(x, p)| \leq C(1 + |p|^2).$$

(H3) $a \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $a \geq 0$.

We notice that if $a \equiv 0$ or $a \equiv 1$, then the equation in (C) becomes the first-order Hamilton–Jacobi equation (1.2), or the viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equation (1.3), respectively. We emphasize that in general, we can deal with possibly degenerate viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations at some part, i.e., $\{a = 0\}$ is not empty and can be arbitrary. The next three propositions concern basic existence results, both for (C) and for the associated stationary problem. The proofs are standard, hence omitted.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $u_0 \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$. There exists a unique solution u of* (C) *which is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{T}^n \times [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, if $u_0 \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $u \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n \times [0, \infty))$.*

We next state the basic existence result on the stationary problem.

Proposition 2.2. *For every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a unique constant $\overline{H}_\varepsilon$ such that the following ergodic problem*

$$H(x, Dv^\varepsilon) = (\varepsilon^4 + a(x))\Delta v^\varepsilon + \overline{H}_\varepsilon, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \tag{E$_\varepsilon$}$$

has a unique solution $v^\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)$ up to constants. In addition,

$$|\overline{H}_\varepsilon - c| \leq C\varepsilon^2, \qquad \|Dv^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq C, \tag{2.1}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a positive constant C independent of ε.

In view of the quadratic or superquadratic growth of the Hamiltonian H, we can get (2.1) by the Bernstein method. By passing to some subsequences if necessary, $v^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon(x_0)$ for a fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$ converges uniformly to a Lipschitz function $v : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which is a solution of

$$H(x, Dv(x)) = a(x)\Delta v + c, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n. \tag{E}$$

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a unique constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the ergodic problem* (E) *admits a solution $v \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)$.*

Without loss of generality, we may assume $c = 0$ henceforth. We can now state the long time convergence result for (C).

Theorem 2.4 (Main Theorem 1)**.** *Let u be the solution of* (C) *with $u_0 \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$. There exists a solution v of* (E) *such that*

$$\|u(\cdot,t) - v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to +\infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is the subject of the following subsections.

2.1. **Regularizing Process and Proof of Theorem** 2.4**.** Without loss of generality we can assume that $u_0 \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)$, since the general case $u_0 \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ can be obtained by a standard approximation argument. In particular, thanks to Proposition 2.1, we see that u is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{T}^n \times [0,\infty)$.

As stated in Introduction, we consider a rescaled problem. Setting $u^\varepsilon(x,t) = u(x,t/\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, where u is the solution of (C), one can easily check that u^ε satisfies

$$(\mathrm{C})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \varepsilon u^\varepsilon_t + H(x, Du^\varepsilon) = a(x)\Delta u^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0,\infty), \\ u^\varepsilon(x,0) = u_0(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n. \end{cases}$$

Notice however that in this way we do not have a priori uniform Lipschitz estimates on ε, since the Lipschitz bounds on u give us that

$$\|u^\varepsilon_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n\times[0,1])} \le C/\varepsilon, \qquad \|Du^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n\times[0,1])} \le C. \tag{2.2}$$

In general, the function u^ε is only Lipschitz continuous. For this reason, we add a viscosity term to $(\mathrm{C})_\varepsilon$, and we consider the regularized equation

$$(\mathrm{A})^\eta_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \varepsilon w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_t + H(x, Dw^{\varepsilon,\eta}) = (a(x)+\eta)\Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta}, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0,\infty), \\ w^{\varepsilon,\eta}(x,0) = u_0(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n, \end{cases}$$

for $\eta > 0$. The advantage of considering $(\mathrm{A})^\eta_\varepsilon$ lies in the fact that the solution is smooth, and this will allow us to use the nonlinear adjoint method. We specially denote $(\mathrm{A})^{\varepsilon^4}_\varepsilon$ by $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon$, and $w^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon^4}$ by w^ε.

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is based on the two following results.

Lemma 2.5. *Let w^ε be the solution of* $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon$. *There exists $C > 0$ independent of ε such that*

$$\|w^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)\|_{C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le C, \quad \|u^\varepsilon(\cdot,1) - w^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le C\varepsilon.$$

The above Lemma is a basic result on the rate of convergence of the regularizing process. In principle, the rate of convergence for the vanishing viscosity method is $\sqrt{\text{viscosity coefficient}}/(\text{the coefficient of } w^\varepsilon_t)$ and therefore, we naturally expect the above result. The proof of Lemma 2.5 can be derived using standard arguments. Nevertheless, we sketch the proof below, since some of the estimates involved will be used later.

Before starting the proof, we state a crucial property of the function $a \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Lemma 2.6. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|Da(x)|^2 \le Ca(x) \quad \textit{for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^n. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. In view of [16, Theorem 5.2.3], $a^{1/2} \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)$. It is then immediate to get (2.3) by noticing that, for $a(x) > 0$,

$$D\left(a^{1/2}\right)(x) = \frac{Da(x)}{2a^{1/2}(x)}. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Since we are assuming that the ergodic constant for (E) is 0 now and H is quadratic or superquadratic on p, we can easily get the first estimate. We only prove the second one.

Let $w^{\varepsilon,\eta}$ be the solution of $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon^\eta$ and set $\phi(x,t) = |Dw^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2/2$. Then, ϕ satisfies

$$\varepsilon\phi_t + D_pH \cdot D\phi + D_xH \cdot Dw^{\varepsilon,\eta} = (\eta + a)\Delta\phi - (\eta + a)|D^2w^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 + a_{x_k}w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{x_k}\Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta}.$$

We next notice that for $\delta > 0$ small enough, in light of Lemma 2.6,

$$a_{x_k}w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{x_k}\Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta} \le C|Da| \cdot |\Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta}| \le \frac{C}{\delta} + \delta|Da|^2|D^2w^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 \le C + \frac{1}{2}a|D^2w^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2.$$

Hence

$$\varepsilon\phi_t + D_pH \cdot D\phi + \frac{1}{2}(\eta + a)|D^2w^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 \le (\eta + a)\Delta\phi + C. \tag{2.4}$$

Multiply (2.4) by σ^ε and integrate over $[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^n$ to yield

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} (a(x) + \eta)|D^2w^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2\sigma^\varepsilon \, dx \, dt \le C \tag{2.5}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Note that $w^{\varepsilon,\eta}$ is differentiable with respect to η by standard regularity results for elliptic equations. Differentiating the equation in $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon^\eta$ with respect to η, we get

$$\varepsilon\left(w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta\right)_t + D_pH(x, Dw^{\varepsilon,\eta}) \cdot Dw^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta = \Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta} + (a(x) + \eta)\Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n,$$

where f_η denotes the derivative of a function f with respect to the paramenter η. Multiplying the above by $\sigma^{\varepsilon,\eta}$ and integrating by parts yield

$$\varepsilon w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta(x_0, 1) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \Delta w^{\varepsilon,\eta}\sigma^{\varepsilon,\eta} \, dx \, dt,$$

where we used the fact that $w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta(x, 0) \equiv 0$. Thanks to (2.5), by the Hölder inequality

$$\varepsilon|w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta(x_0, 1)| \le C\left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |D^2w^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2\sigma^{\varepsilon,\eta} \, dx \, dt\right)^{1/2} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{\eta}}.$$

By choosing properly the point x_0 we have thus

$$\|w^{\varepsilon,\eta}_\eta(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\eta}},$$

which gives

$$\|w^{\varepsilon,\eta}(\cdot, 1) - u^\varepsilon(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le \frac{C\sqrt{\eta}}{\varepsilon}.$$

Finally, observing that $w^\varepsilon = w^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon^4}$, choosing $\eta = \varepsilon^4$ we get the result.

□

Next theorem gives (1.6) in the special case of problem $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 2.7. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \|w^\varepsilon_t(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} = 0.$$

More precisely, there exists a positive constant C, independent of ε, such that

$$\varepsilon \|w^\varepsilon_t(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} = \|H(\cdot, Dw^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)) - (\varepsilon^4 + a(\cdot))\Delta w^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le C\varepsilon^{1/4}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.7 is postponed to the end of this section. We can now give the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By Lemma 2.5, we can choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_m\} \to 0$ such that $\{w^{\varepsilon_m}(\cdot,1)\}$ converges uniformly to a continuous function v. By Theorem 2.7, v is a solution of (E), and thus a (time independent) solution of the equation in $(\mathrm{C})_\varepsilon$. We let $t_m = 1/\varepsilon_m$ and use Lemma 2.5 to deduce that

$$\|u(\cdot,t_m) - v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Let us show that the limit does not depend on the sequence $\{t_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. Now, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $t > 0$ such that $t_m \le t < t_{m+1}$, we use the comparison principle to yield that

$$|u(x,t) - v(x)| \le \|u(\cdot, t_m + (t - t_m)) - v(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le \|u(\cdot,t_m) - v(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |u(x,t) - v(x)| \le \lim_{m\to\infty} \|u(\cdot,t_m) - v(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} = 0,$$

which gives the conclusion. □

2.2. **Convergence Mechanisms: Degenerate Equations.** The adjoint equation corresponding to $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon$ is

$$(\mathrm{AJ})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} -\varepsilon\sigma^\varepsilon_t - \operatorname{div}(D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^4\Delta\sigma^\varepsilon + \Delta(a(x)\sigma^\varepsilon), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0,\infty), \\ \sigma^\varepsilon(x,1) = \delta_{x_0}, & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n, \end{cases}$$

where δ_{x_0} is the Dirac delta measure at some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Lemma 2.8 (Elementary Properties of σ^ε)**.** *We have $\sigma^\varepsilon \ge 0$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sigma^\varepsilon(x,t)\,dx = 1, \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0,1].$$

This is a straightforward result of adjoint operator and easy to check. We show now the following key lemma, which provides integral bounds on first and second order derivatives of the difference $w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon$ on the support of σ^ε and a.

Lemma 2.9 (Key Estimates)**.** *There exists a positive constant C, independent of ε, such that the following hold*:

(i) $\displaystyle\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|D(w^\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon)|^2+\varepsilon^7|D^2(w^\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon)|^2\right)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\le C,$

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}a^2(x)|D^2(w^\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon)|^2\,dx\,dt\le C\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$

Proof. Subtracting equation $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon$ from $(\mathrm{E})_\varepsilon$, thanks to the uniform convexity of H, we get

$$\begin{aligned}0&=\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)_t+H(x,Dv^\varepsilon)-H(x,Dw^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)-\overline{H}_\varepsilon\\&\ge\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)_t+D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)+\theta|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2\\&\quad-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)-\overline{H}_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Multiply the above inequality by σ^ε and integrate by parts on $[0,1]\times\mathbb{T}^n$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|D(w^\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\le\overline{H}_\varepsilon+\varepsilon\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(w^\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\right]_{t=0}^{t=1}\\&=\overline{H}_\varepsilon+\varepsilon(w^\varepsilon(x_0,1)-v^\varepsilon(x_0))-\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(u_0(x)-v^\varepsilon(x))\sigma^\varepsilon(x,0)\,dx\\&=\overline{H}_\varepsilon+\varepsilon w^\varepsilon(x_0,1)-\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(v^\varepsilon(x_0)-v^\varepsilon(x))\sigma^\varepsilon(x,0)\,dx-\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}u_0(x)\sigma^\varepsilon(x,0)\,dx\\&\le\overline{H}_\varepsilon+C\varepsilon+C\varepsilon\|Dv^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)}-\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}u_0(x)\sigma^\varepsilon(x,0)\,dx\le C\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where v is a solution of (E) and we used Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.2 (recall that we set $c=0$) in the last two inequalities. We hence get

$$\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|D(w^\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\le C\varepsilon,\tag{2.6}$$

which is the first part of (i).

Next, subtract $(\mathrm{A})_\varepsilon$ from $(\mathrm{E})_\varepsilon$ and differentiate with respect to x_i to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)_{x_it}+D_pH(x,Dv^\varepsilon)\cdot Dv^\varepsilon_{x_i}-D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot Dw^\varepsilon_{x_i}\\&\qquad+H_{x_i}(x,Dv^\varepsilon)-H_{x_i}(x,Dw^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a)\Delta(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)_{x_i}-a_{x_i}\Delta(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi(x,t)=|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2/2$. Multiplying the last identity by $(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)_{x_i}$ and summing up with respect to i, we achieve that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon\varphi_t+D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D\varphi+\left[\Big(D_pH(x,Dv^\varepsilon)-D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\Big)\cdot Dv^\varepsilon_{x_i}\right](v^\varepsilon_{x_i}-w^\varepsilon_{x_i})\\&\qquad+\Big(D_xH(x,Dv^\varepsilon)-D_xH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\Big)\cdot D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta\varphi\\&\qquad\qquad+(\varepsilon^4+a(x))|D^2(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2-[Da\cdot D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)]\,\Delta(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)=0.\end{aligned}$$

By using various bounds on the above, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\varphi_t + D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D\varphi - (\varepsilon^4 + a(x))\Delta\varphi + (\varepsilon^4 + a(x)/2)|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ \le C(|D^2v^\varepsilon| + 1)|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2. \quad (2.7)\end{aligned}$$

The term in the right hand side of (2.7) is a dangerous term. We now take advantage of (2.5) and (2.6) to handle it. Using the fact that $\|Dv^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|Dw^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}$ are bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned}C|D^2v^\varepsilon|\,|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 &\le C|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|\,|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|D^2w^\varepsilon|\,|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon^4}{2}|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^4}|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|D^2w^\varepsilon|. \quad (2.8)\end{aligned}$$

Combine (2.7) and (2.8) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\varphi_t + D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D\varphi - (\varepsilon^4 + a(x))\Delta\varphi + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{2}|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ \le C|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^4}|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|D^2w^\varepsilon|. \quad (2.9)\end{aligned}$$

We multiply (2.9) by σ^ε, integrate over $[0,1]\times\mathbb{T}^n$, to yield that, in light of (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^4\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|D^2(w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt \le C\varepsilon + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^4}\varepsilon + C\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|D^2w^\varepsilon|\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt \\ \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} + C\Big(\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|D^2w^\varepsilon|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\Big)^{1/2} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove (ii). Setting $\psi(x,t) = a(x)|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)(x,t)|^2/2 = a(x)\varphi(x,t)$ and multiplying (2.7) by $a(x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\psi_t + D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D\psi - (D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot Da)\varphi - (\varepsilon^4 + a(x))\Delta\psi \\ + (\varepsilon^4 + a(x))(\Delta a\varphi + 2Da\cdot D\varphi) + a(x)(\varepsilon^4 + a(x)/2)|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ \le Ca(x)(|D^2v^\varepsilon| + 1)|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We use the facts that $Da,\ \Delta a$ are bounded on $\mathbb{T}^n$ to simplify the above as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\psi_t + D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D\psi - (\varepsilon^4 + a(x))\Delta\psi + a(x)(\varepsilon^4 + a(x)/2)|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ \le C|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 - 2(\varepsilon^4 + a(x))Da\cdot D\varphi + Ca(x)|D^2v^\varepsilon|\,|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2. \quad (2.10)\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have to control the last two terms on the right hand side of (2.10). Observe first that for $\delta > 0$ small enough

$$\begin{aligned}2|(\varepsilon^4 + a(x))Da\cdot D\varphi| &\le C(\varepsilon^4 + a(x))|Da|\,|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|\,|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)| \\ &\le \delta(\varepsilon^4 + a(x))|Da|^2|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + \frac{C}{\delta}|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ &\le \frac{1}{8}(\varepsilon^4 + a(x))a(x)|D^2(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|D(v^\varepsilon - w^\varepsilon)|^2, \quad (2.11)\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.6 in the last inequality. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(x)|D^2v^\varepsilon|\,|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2\\
&\le a(x)|D^2w^\varepsilon|\,|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2+a(x)|D^2(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|\,|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|\\
&\le\sqrt{\varepsilon}a(x)|D^2w^\varepsilon|^2+\frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2+\frac{a(x)^2}{8}|D^2(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2+C|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

We combine (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\psi_t+D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot D\psi-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta\psi+\frac{a(x)^2}{4}|D^2(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2&\\
\le(C+C\varepsilon^{-1/2})|D(v^\varepsilon-w^\varepsilon)|^2+\varepsilon^{1/2}a(x)|D^2w^\varepsilon|^2.&
\end{aligned}$$

We multiply the above inequality by σ^ε, integrate over $\mathbb{T}^n\times[0,1]$ and use (2.5) to yield the result. □

2.3. **Averaging Action and Proof of Theorem** 2.7.

Lemma 2.10 (Conservation of Energy). *The following hold:*
(i) $\displaystyle\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(H(x,Dw^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta w^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx=0,$
(ii) $\displaystyle\varepsilon w_t^\varepsilon(x_0,1)=\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(H(x,Dw^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta w^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt.$

We stress the fact that identity Lemma 2.10 (ii) is extremely important. As stated in the Introduction, if we scale back the time, the integral in the right hand side becomes (1.8), that is the averaging action as $t\to\infty$. Relation (ii) together with Lemma 2.9 allow us to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.7.

Proof. We only need to prove (i) as (ii) follows directly from (i). This is a straightforward result of adjoint operators and comes from a direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(H(x,Dw^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta w^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\cdot Dw_t^\varepsilon-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta w_t^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}(H(x,Dw^\varepsilon)-(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta w^\varepsilon)\sigma_t^\varepsilon\,dx\\
&=-\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\Big(\mathrm{div}\left(D_pH(x,Dw^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\right)+(\varepsilon^4+a(x))\Delta\sigma^\varepsilon\Big)w_t^\varepsilon\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\varepsilon w_t^\varepsilon\sigma_t^\varepsilon\,dx=0.
\end{aligned}$$ □

We now can give the proof of Theorem 2.7, which is the main principle to achieve large time asymptotics, by using the averaging action above and the key estimates in Lemma 2.9.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Let us first choose x_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned}&|\varepsilon w^\varepsilon_t(x_0,1)| = |H(x_0, Dw^\varepsilon(x_0,1)) - (\varepsilon^4 + a(x_0))\Delta w^\varepsilon(x_0,1)| \\ &= \|H(\cdot, Dw^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)) - (\varepsilon^4 + a(\cdot))\Delta w^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon\|w^\varepsilon_t(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} = \|H(\cdot, Dw^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)) - (\varepsilon^4 + a(\cdot))\Delta w^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \left|\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} (H(x,Dw^\varepsilon) - (\varepsilon^4+a)\Delta w^\varepsilon)\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\right| \\ &\le \int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |(H(x,Dw^\varepsilon) - (\varepsilon^4+a)\Delta w^\varepsilon) - (H(x,Dv^\varepsilon) - (\varepsilon^4+a)\Delta v^\varepsilon)|\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt + |\overline{H}_\varepsilon| \\ &\le \int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \big[C|D(w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon)| + (\varepsilon^4+a)|\Delta(w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon)|\big]\,\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt + C\varepsilon^2.\end{aligned}$$

We finally use the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.9 to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon\|w^\varepsilon_t(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &\le C\left(\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |D(w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\right)^{1/2} + C\varepsilon^4\left(\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |D^2(w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C\left(\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} a^2(x)|D^2(w^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\right)^{1/2} + C\varepsilon^2 \le C\varepsilon^{1/4}.\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Weakly Coupled Systems of Hamilton–Jacobi Equations

In this section, we will basically repeat the steps which we described for the single equation in Section 2, adjusting to the weakly coupled system of Hamilton–Jacobi equations:

$$\text{(SC)}\qquad \begin{cases}(u_1)_t + H_1(x,Du_1) + u_1 - u_2 = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n\times(0,\infty),\\ (u_2)_t + H_2(x,Du_2) + u_2 - u_1 = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n\times(0,\infty),\\ u_i(x,0) = u_{0i}(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n,\ \text{for } i=1,2.\end{cases}$$

Throughout this section we *always* assume that $u_{0i} \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and that the functions H_i satisfy (H1), (H2) for $i = 1,2$.

We first state the basic existence results for (SC) and for the associated stationary problem. The proofs of the next three propositions are standard, hence omitted.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $(u_{01}, u_{02}) \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)^2$. *There exists a unique solution* (u_1,u_2) *of* (SC) *which is uniformly continuous on* $\mathbb{T}^n\times[0,\infty)$. *Furthermore, if* $u_{0i} \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)$, *then* $u_i \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n\times[0,\infty))$ *for* $i=1,2$.

Proposition 3.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there exists a unique $\overline{H}_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following ergodic problem*

$$(\mathrm{SE})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} H_1(x, Dv_1^\varepsilon) + v_1^\varepsilon - v_2^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^4 \Delta v_1^\varepsilon + \overline{H}_\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n, \\ H_2(x, Dv_2^\varepsilon) + v_2^\varepsilon - v_1^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^4 \Delta v_2^\varepsilon + \overline{H}_\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $(v_1^\varepsilon, v_2^\varepsilon) \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)^2$ up to additional constants. In addition,

$$|\overline{H}_\varepsilon - c| \le C\varepsilon^2, \qquad \|Dv_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le C, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a positive constant C independent of ε.

The following result is straightforward.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a unique constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the ergodic problem*

$$(\mathrm{SE}) \qquad \begin{cases} H_1(x, Dv_1) + v_1 - v_2 = c, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n, \\ H_2(x, Dv_2) + v_2 - v_1 = c, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n, \end{cases}$$

has a solution $(v_1, v_2) \in \mathrm{Lip}\,(\mathbb{T}^n)^2$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $c = 0$ henceforth. We present our second main result.

Theorem 3.4 (Main Theorem 2)**.** *Let (u_1, u_2) be the solution of* (SC) *with $(u_{01}, u_{02}) \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)^2$. There exist a solution $(v_1, v_2) \in (C(\mathbb{T}^n))^2$ such that*

$$\|u_i(\cdot, t) - v_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } t \to +\infty, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

3.1. **Regularizing Process and Proof of Theorem** 3.4.

Without loss of generality, in the following we will assume that (u_1, u_2) is Lipschitz on $\mathbb{T}^n \times [0, \infty)$, as it was done in Section 2. Once again, we will follow the machinery stated in the Introduction.

We perform a change of time scale. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let us set $u_i^\varepsilon(x, t) = u_i(x, t/\varepsilon)$, which is the solution of

$$(\mathrm{SC})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \varepsilon(u_1^\varepsilon)_t + H_1(x, Du_1^\varepsilon) + u_1^\varepsilon - u_2^\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ \varepsilon(u_2^\varepsilon)_t + H_2(x, Du_2^\varepsilon) + u_2^\varepsilon - u_1^\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u_i^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_{0i}(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n, \text{for } i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

and we approximate (SC) by adding viscosity terms to the equations

$$(\mathrm{SA})_\varepsilon \qquad \begin{cases} \varepsilon(w_1^\varepsilon)_t + H_1(x, Dw_1^\varepsilon) + w_1^\varepsilon - w_2^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^4 \Delta w_1^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ \varepsilon(w_2^\varepsilon)_t + H_2(x, Dw_2^\varepsilon) + w_2^\varepsilon - w_1^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^4 \Delta w_2^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ w_i^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_{0i}(x), & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n, \ \text{for } i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

We can conclude the proof of Theorem 3.4 with the following two results.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(w_1^\varepsilon, w_2^\varepsilon)$ be the solution of* $(\mathrm{SA})_\varepsilon$*. There exists $C > 0$ independent of ε such that $\|w_i^\varepsilon(\cdot, 1)\|_{C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le C$, $\|u_i^\varepsilon(\cdot, 1) - w_i^\varepsilon(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \le C\varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Theorem 3.6. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\max_{i=1,2}\varepsilon\|(w_i^\varepsilon)_t(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)}=0.$$

3.2. **Convergence Mechanisms: Weakly Coupled Systems.** The adjoint system corresponding to $(\mathrm{SA})_\varepsilon$ is

$$(\mathrm{SAJ})_\varepsilon\quad\begin{cases}-\varepsilon(\sigma_1^\varepsilon)_t+\operatorname{div}(D_pH_1(x,Dw_1^\varepsilon)\sigma_1^\varepsilon)+\sigma_1^\varepsilon-\sigma_2^\varepsilon=\varepsilon^4\Delta\sigma_1^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n\times(0,\infty),\\ -\varepsilon(\sigma_2^\varepsilon)_t+\operatorname{div}(D_pH_2(x,Dw_2^\varepsilon)\sigma_2^\varepsilon)+\sigma_2^\varepsilon-\sigma_1^\varepsilon=\varepsilon^4\Delta\sigma_2^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n\times(0,\infty),\\ \sigma_i^\varepsilon(x,0)=\delta_{ik}\delta_{x_0}, & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^n,\ \text{for } i=1,2.\end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{ik}=1$ if $i=k$ and $\delta_{ik}=0$ if $i\neq k$, and $x_0\in\mathbb{T}^n$ and $k\in\{1,2\}$ are to be chosen later. Notice that for any choice of k, either $\sigma_1^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)=0$ or $\sigma_2^\varepsilon(\cdot,1)=0$. Let us record some elementary properties of $(\sigma_1^\varepsilon,\sigma_2^\varepsilon)$ first.

Lemma 3.7 (Elementary properties of $(\sigma_1^\varepsilon,\sigma_2^\varepsilon)$). *We have $\sigma_i^\varepsilon\geq 0$ for $i=1,2$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sigma_i^\varepsilon(x,t)\,dx=1\quad \textit{for all } t\in[0,1].$$

We next derive key integral bounds for $(w_1^\varepsilon,w_2^\varepsilon)$, $(v_1^\varepsilon,v_2^\varepsilon)$ and their derivatives on the supports of $(\sigma_1^\varepsilon,\sigma_2^\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 3.8 (Key estimates for weakly coupled systems). *The following hold true:*

(i) $\displaystyle\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|Dw_i^\varepsilon-Dv_i^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^7|D^2(w_i^\varepsilon-v_i^\varepsilon)|^2\right)\sigma_i^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\leq C,$

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}[(w_1^\varepsilon-v_1^\varepsilon)-(w_2^\varepsilon-v_2^\varepsilon)]^2(\sigma_1^\varepsilon+\sigma_2^\varepsilon)\,dx\,dt\leq C\varepsilon.$

Lemma 3.8 (ii) is a new observation on the study of weakly coupled systems, which gives us the large time average control on the coupling terms. This is actually the key point in the derivation of the main result for systems (Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6) as one can see in the proof of Lemma 3.9.

Proof. We will only prove (ii), since part (i) can be derived by repeating the proof of Lemma 2.9.

Thanks to Lemma 3.5, we can always add to the pair $(v_1^\varepsilon,v_2^\varepsilon)$ an arbitrarily large constant C (independent of ε) such that

$$2C\geq v_i^\varepsilon\geq w_i^\varepsilon \text{ in } \mathbb{T}^n,\ \text{for } i=1,2. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\phi_i=(v_i^\varepsilon-w_i^\varepsilon)^2/2$ for $i=1,2$. Subtract the first equation of $(\mathrm{SA})_\varepsilon$ from the first equation of $(\mathrm{SE})_\varepsilon$, and multiply the result by $v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)_t+(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)(H_1(x,Dv_1^\varepsilon)-H_1(x,Dw_1^\varepsilon))\\&\quad+(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)^2-(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)(v_2^\varepsilon-w_2^\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^4(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)\Delta(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon)+\overline{H}_\varepsilon(v_1^\varepsilon-w_1^\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

We employ the convexity of H_1 and (3.1) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(\phi_1)_t + D_pH_1(x, Dw_1^\varepsilon)\cdot D\phi_1 + \phi_1 - \phi_2 \\ + \frac{1}{2}[(v_1^\varepsilon - w_1^\varepsilon) - (v_2^\varepsilon - w_2^\varepsilon)]^2 \le \varepsilon^4\Delta\phi_1 - \varepsilon^4|D(v_1^\varepsilon - w_1^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|\overline{H}_\varepsilon|. \quad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(\phi_2)_t + D_pH_2(x, Dw_2^\varepsilon)\cdot D\phi_2 + \phi_2 - \phi_1 \\ + \frac{1}{2}[(v_1^\varepsilon - w_1^\varepsilon) - (v_2^\varepsilon - w_2^\varepsilon)]^2 \le \varepsilon^4\Delta\phi_2 - \varepsilon^4|D(v_2^\varepsilon - w_2^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|\overline{H}_\varepsilon|. \quad (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3.2), (3.3) by σ_1^ε, σ_2^ε respectively, and integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}[(v_1^\varepsilon - w_1^\varepsilon) - (v_2^\varepsilon - w_2^\varepsilon)]^2(\sigma_1^\varepsilon + \sigma_2^\varepsilon)\,dx\,dt \\ &\le -\sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\phi_i\sigma_i^\varepsilon\,dx\right]_{t=0}^{t=1} + C|\overline{H}_\varepsilon| - \varepsilon^4\sum_{i=1,2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|D(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)|^2\sigma_i^\varepsilon\,dx \le C\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

which implies (ii). □

3.3. **Averaging Action and Proof of Theorem 3.6.** For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, setting $j = 3 - i$ we have $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. The following result concerning conservation of energy and averaging action is analogous to Lemma 2.10 and therefore we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.9 (Conservation of Energy for weakly coupled systems)**.** *The following hold*:

(i) $\displaystyle \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sum_{i=1}^2(H_i(x, Dw_i^\varepsilon) + w_i^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^4\Delta w_i^\varepsilon)\sigma_i^\varepsilon\,dx = 0.$

(ii) $-(k-1)\varepsilon(w_1^\varepsilon)_t(x_0, 1) - (2-k)\varepsilon(w_2^\varepsilon)_t(x_0, 1)$

$$= \int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}\sum_{i=1}^2(H_i(x, Dw_i^\varepsilon) + w_i^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^4\Delta w_i^\varepsilon)\sigma_i^\varepsilon\,dx\,dt.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$\varepsilon|(w_1^\varepsilon)_t(x_0, 1)| = \varepsilon\max_{i=1,2}\|(w_i^\varepsilon)_t(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

We then choose $k=1$ in $(\mathrm{SAJ})_\varepsilon$ and use Lemma 3.9 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \max_{i=1,2} \|(w_i^\varepsilon)_t(\cdot,1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} = \left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sum_{i=1}^2 (H_i(x,Dw_i^\varepsilon) + w_i^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^4 \Delta w_i^\varepsilon)\sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \right| \\
&\le \left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sum_{i=1}^2 \Big\{ H_i(x,Dw_i^\varepsilon) + w_i^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^4 \Delta w_i^\varepsilon) \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. - (H_i(x,Dv_i^\varepsilon) + v_i^\varepsilon - v_j^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^4 \Delta v_i^\varepsilon) \Big\} \sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \right| + |\overline{H}_\varepsilon| \\
&\le \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[C|D(w_i^\varepsilon - v_i^\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon^4 |\Delta(w_i^\varepsilon - v_i^\varepsilon)| \right] \sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |[(w_1^\varepsilon - v_1^\varepsilon) - (w_2^\varepsilon - v_2^\varepsilon)](\sigma_1^\varepsilon - \sigma_2^\varepsilon)| \,dx\,dt + |\overline{H}_\varepsilon|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sum_{i=1}^2 (H_i(x,Dw_i^\varepsilon) + w_i^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^4 \Delta w_i^\varepsilon)\sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \right| \\
&\le C \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \left[\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |D(w_i^\varepsilon - v_i^\varepsilon)|^2 \sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^4 \left[\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |D^2(w_i^\varepsilon - v_i^\varepsilon)|^2 \sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |(w_1^\varepsilon - v_1^\varepsilon) - (w_2^\varepsilon - v_2^\varepsilon)|^2 \sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt \right]^{1/2} + |\overline{H}_\varepsilon| \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by using Lemma 3.8. □

3.4. **Generalizations.** It is also fairly straightforward to generalize the above system of two equations to systems of m-equations as follows. We consider a system of the form

$$(u_i)_t + H_i(x,Du_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij} u_j = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n \times (0,\infty).$$

and assume that H_i satisfy (H1), (H2) and $c_{ii} > 0$, $c_{ij} \le 0$ for $i \ne j$, $\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij} = 0$.

In order to achieve large time behavior results, we only need to establish the key estimate concerning the coupling terms as in part (ii) of Lemma 3.8. More precisely, we show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sum_{j=1}^m |c_{ij}| \left[(w_j^\varepsilon - v_j^\varepsilon) - (w_i^\varepsilon - v_i^\varepsilon) \right]^2 \sigma_i^\varepsilon \,dx\,dt = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Set $\phi_i = (v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)^2/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)_t + (v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)(H_i(x, Dv_i^\varepsilon) - H_i(x, Dw_i^\varepsilon))\\&+\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)(v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^4(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)\Delta(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon) + \overline{H}_\varepsilon(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

The last term in the right hand side of the above identity can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)(v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon) = \sum_{j\neq i} |c_{ij}| \Big((v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)^2 - (v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)(v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon)\Big)\\=&\sum_{j\neq i} |c_{ij}| \left(\frac{1}{2}(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon)^2 + \frac{1}{2}[(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon) - (v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon)]^2\right)\\=&\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}\phi_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^m |c_{ij}| \, [(v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon) - (v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)]^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(\phi_i)_t + D_pH_i(x, Dw_i^\varepsilon)\cdot D\phi_i + \sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}\phi_j\\&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^m |c_{ij}| \, [(v_j^\varepsilon - w_j^\varepsilon) - (v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)]^2 \le \varepsilon^4\Delta\phi_i - \varepsilon^4|D(v_i^\varepsilon - w_i^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|\overline{H}_\varepsilon|.\end{aligned}$$

Then (3.4) follows immediately.

Finally, it is worth to mention that if we combine the arguments in Sections 2 and 3, then we can immediately get the result on the large-time behavior for weakly coupled systems of degenerate viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equations. We omit the details here.

Acknowledgements The works of FC and DG were partially supported by CAMGSD-LARSys through FCT-Portugal and by grants PTDC/MAT/114397/2009, UTAustin /MAT/0057/2008, and UTA-CMU/MAT/0007/2009. The work of FC was partial supported by the UTAustin-Portugal partnership through the FCT post-doctoral fellowship SFRH/BPD/51349/2011. The work of HM was partially supported by KAKENHI #24840042, JSPS and Grant for Basic Science Research Projects from the Sumitomo Foundation.

References

[1] G. Barles, H. Ishii, H. Mitake, *A new PDE approach to the large time asymptotics of solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, submitted.

[2] G. Barles, P. E. Souganidis, *On the large time behavior of solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **31** (2000), no. 4, 925–939.

[3] G. Barles, P. E. Souganidis, *Space-time periodic solutions and long-time behavior of solutions to quasi-linear parabolic equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (2001), no. 6, 1311–1323.

[4] F. Cagnetti, D. Gomes, H. V. Tran. *Aubry-Mather measures in the non convex setting*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **43** (2011), no. 6, 2601–2629.

[5] F. Cagnetti, D. Gomes, H. V. Tran, *Adjoint methods for obstacle problems and weakly coupled systems of PDE*, to appear in ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.

[6] A. Davini, A. Siconolfi, *A generalized dynamical approach to the large-time behavior of solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **38** (2006), no. 2, 478–502.

[7] L. C. Evans, *Adjoint and compensated compactness methods for Hamilton–Jacobi PDE*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **197** (2010), 1053–1088.

[8] L. C. Evans, *Envelopes and nonconvex Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, submitted

[9] A. Fathi, *Sur la convergence du semi-groupe de Lax-Oleinik*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **327** (1998), no. 3, 267–270.

[10] H. Ishii, *Asymptotic solutions for large-time of Hamilton–Jacobi equations in Euclidean n space*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **25** (2008), no 2, 231–266.

[11] P.-L., Lions, G. Papanicolaou, S. R. S. Varadhan, Homogenization of Hamilton–Jacobi equations, unpublished work (1987).

[12] H. Mitake, H. V. Tran, *Homogenization of weakly coupled systems of Hamilton–Jacobi equations with fast switching rates*, submitted.

[13] H. Mitake, H. V. Tran, *A dynamical approach to the large-time behavior of solutions to weakly coupled systems of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, submitted.

[14] V. D. Nguyen, *Some results on the large time behavior of weakly coupled systems of first-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, submitted.

[15] G. Namah, J.-M. Roquejoffre, *Remarks on the long time behaviour of the solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **24** (1999), no. 5-6, 883–893.

[16] D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan, Multidimensional diffusion processes. Reprint of the 1997 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. xii+338 pp.

[17] H. V. Tran, *Adjoint methods for static Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Calculus of Variations and PDE **41** (2011), 301–319.

(F. Cagnetti) Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry, and Dynamical Systems, Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: `cagnetti@math.ist.utl.pt`

(D. Gomes) Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry, and Dynamical Systems, Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal and King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), CSMSE Division, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: `dgomes@math.ist.utl.pt`

(H. Mitake) Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan
E-mail address: `mitake@math.sci.fukuoka-u.ac.jp`

(H. V. Tran) Department of Mathematics, The University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA
E-mail address: `hung@math.uchicago.edu`